Basic Discrete Mathematics Review 1

Meng Zhang

ZJU-UIUC Institute Zhejiang University Email: mengzhang@intl.zju.edu.cn



Meng Zhang @ ZJUI

MATH 213

Fall 2022

Topics of This Course



Coverage for First Midterm

- 1 Logic and Mathematical Proofs
- 2 Sets and Functions

- 3 Complexity of Algorithms
- 4 Number Theory



Lecture Schedule

- 1 Logic and Mathematical Proofs
- 2 Sets and Functions

- 3 Complexity of Algorithms
- 4 Number Theory



Propositional Logic

Proposition: a declarative sentence that is either true or false (not both).

- Conventional letters used for propositional variables are p, q, r, s, ...
- Truth value of a proposition: true, denoted by T; false, denoted by F.



Propositional Logic

Proposition: a declarative sentence that is either true or false (not both).

- Conventional letters used for propositional variables are p, q, r, s, ...
- Truth value of a proposition: true, denoted by T; false, denoted by F.

Compound propositions are build using logical connectives:

- Negation ¬
- Conjunction \wedge
- Disjunction \lor

- Exclusive or \oplus
- $\bullet \ {\rm Implication} \rightarrow$
- Biconditional \leftrightarrow



- Tautology: A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
 - ► E.g., *p* ∨ ¬*p*
- Contradiction: A compound proposition that is always false.



- Tautology: A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
 E.g., p ∨ ¬p
- Contradiction: A compound proposition that is always false.

The compound propositions p and q are called logically equivalent, denoted by $p \equiv q$, if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

• E.g.,
$$\neg(p \lor q)$$
 and $\neg p \land \neg q$



- Tautology: A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
 E.g., p ∨ ¬p
- Contradiction: A compound proposition that is always false.

The compound propositions p and q are called logically equivalent, denoted by $p \equiv q$, if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

• E.g.,
$$eg(p \lor q)$$
 and $eg p \land
eg q$

That is, two compound propositions are equivalent if they always have the same truth value.

- Tautology: A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it.
 E.g., p ∨ ¬p
- Contradiction: A compound proposition that is always false.

The compound propositions p and q are called logically equivalent, denoted by $p \equiv q$, if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.

• E.g.,
$$\neg(p \lor q)$$
 and $\neg p \land \neg q$

That is, two compound propositions are equivalent if they always have the same truth value.

Determine logically equivalent propositions using:

- Truth table
- Logical Equivalences



Important Logical Equivalences

Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \lor \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	
$p \lor \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$	Domination laws
$p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	
$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$p \land p \equiv p$	
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
$p \land q \equiv q \land p$	



Important Logical Equivalences

$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	Associative laws
$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \lor \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \land \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

$$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

Useful Law



Predicate Logic and Quantified Statements

Predicate Logic: make statements with variables: P(x).

Propositional function $P(x) \stackrel{\text{specify } x}{\Longrightarrow}$ Proposition



Predicate Logic and Quantified Statements

Predicate Logic: make statements with variables: P(x).

Propositional function $P(x) \stackrel{\text{specify } x}{\Longrightarrow}$ Proposition

Meng Zhang @ ZJU

Quantified Statements: Universal quantifier $\forall x P(x)$; Existential quantifier $\exists x P(x)$

Statement	When true?	When false?
∀x P(x)	P(x) true for all x	There is an x where $P(x)$ is false.
∃x P(x)	There is some x for which $P(x)$ is true.	P(x) is false for all x.

Propositional function
$$P(x)$$
 for all/some x in domain
Proposition
 z_{JU} -UIUC INSTITUE
 z_{JU

MATH 213

Negation and Nest Quantifier

Negation	Equivalent Statement	When Is Negation True?	When False?
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	P(x) is true for every x .



Negation and Nest Quantifier

Negation	Equivalent Statement	When Is Negation True?	When False?
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every x , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an x for which $P(x)$ is false.	P(x) is true for every x .

Statement	When True?	When False?
$ \begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y P(x, y) \\ &\forall y \forall x P(x, y) \end{aligned} $	P(x, y) is true for every pair x, y .	There is a pair x , y for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every x there is a y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	There is an x such that $P(x, y)$ is false for every y.
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$	There is an x for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every y.	For every <i>x</i> there is a <i>y</i> for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \\ \exists y \exists x P(x, y)$	There is a pair x, y for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	P(x, y) is false for every pair x, y.

N 4	- 71		- 6	171	
ivien,	ᇰᆂᅣ	ian,	g w	ł ZJ	U

Fall 2022

▶ < ∃ >

Image: A mathematical states of the state

9/62

Validity of Argument Form:

The argument form with premises $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ and conclusion q is valid, if

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n) \rightarrow q$$
 is a tautology.

Note: According to the definition of $p \rightarrow q$, we do not worry about the case where $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n$ is false.



Rules of Inference for Propositional Logic

Rule of Inference	Tautology	Name
$\frac{p}{p \to q}$ $\therefore \frac{q}{q}$	$(p \land (p \to q)) \to q$	Modus ponens
$ \begin{array}{c} \neg q \\ p \to q \\ \therefore \ \overline{\neg p} \end{array} $	$(\neg q \land (p \to q)) \to \neg p$	Modus tollens
$p \to q$ $\frac{q \to r}{r}$ $\therefore p \to r$	$((p \to q) \land (q \to r)) \to (p \to r)$	Hypothetical syllogism
$\frac{p \lor q}{\neg p}$ $\therefore \frac{\neg p}{q}$	$((p \lor q) \land \neg p) \to q$	Disjunctive syllogism



Rules of Inference for Propositional Logic

$\frac{p}{p \lor q}$	$p \to (p \lor q)$	Addition
$\frac{p \wedge q}{p}$	$(p \land q) \rightarrow p$	Simplification
$\frac{p}{\frac{q}{p \wedge q}}$	$((p) \land (q)) \rightarrow (p \land q)$	Conjunction
$p \lor q$ $\neg p \lor r$ $\therefore \overline{q \lor r}$	$((p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r)) \to (q \lor r)$	Resolution



Rules of Inference for Propositional Logic

Rule of Inference	Name
$\therefore \frac{\forall x P(x)}{P(c)}$	Universal instantiation
$\frac{P(c) \text{ for an arbitrary } c}{\forall x P(x)}$	Universal generalization
$\frac{\exists x P(x)}{P(c) \text{ for some element } c}$	Existential instantiation
$\frac{P(c) \text{ for some element } c}{\exists x P(x)}$	Existential generalization



Meng Zhang @ ZJUI

MATH 213

Fall 2022

Methods of Proving Theorems

A proof is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.

Direct proof

p
ightarrow q is proved by showing that if p is true then q follows

• Proof by contrapositive

show the contrapositive $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Proof by contradiction

show that $(p \land \neg q)$ contradicts the assumptions

Proof by cases

give proofs for all possible cases

• Proof of equivalence

 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is replaced with $(p \rightarrow q) \land (q \leftarrow p)$



Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. (Rational numbers are those of the form $\frac{m}{n}$, where *m* and *n* are integers.)



Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. (Rational numbers are those of the form $\frac{m}{n}$, where *m* and *n* are integers.)

Proof: Suppose that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then, there exist integers *a* and *b* with $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, where $b \neq 0$ and *a* and *b* have no common factors (so that the fraction a/b is in lowest terms.)

Since $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, it follows that $2b^2 = a^2$. By the definition of an even integer, it follows that a^2 is even, so a is even (see Exercise 16).

Since a is even, a = 2k for some integer k. Thus, $b^2 = 2k^2$. This implies that b^2 is even, so b is even.

As a result, a and b have a common factor 2, which contradicts our assumption.



Show that there exist irrational numbers x and y such that x^{y} is rational.



Show that there exist irrational numbers x and y such that x^{y} is rational.

Proof: We know that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. Consider the number $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$. Case 1: If $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is rational, then we have two irrational numbers $x = \sqrt{2}$ and $y = \sqrt{2}$ with $x^y = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ rational.

Case 2: If $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is irrational, then we let $x = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ and $y = \sqrt{2}$. We have $x^y = (\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = 2$ is rational.

Note that although we do not know which case works, we know that one of the two cases has the desired property.



Lecture Schedule

- 1 Logic and Mathematical Proofs
- 2 Sets and Functions

- 3 Complexity of Algorithms
- 4 Number Theory



Sets

A set is an unordered collection of objects.

- listing (enumerating) the elements
- if enumeration is hard, use ellipses (...)
- definition by property, using the set builder

 $\{x \mid x \text{ has property } P \text{ or property } P(x))\}$



Sets

A set is an unordered collection of objects.

- listing (enumerating) the elements
- if enumeration is hard, use ellipses (...)
- definition by property, using the set builder

 $\{x \mid x \text{ has property } P \text{ or property } P(x))\}$

Proof of Subset:

- Showing $A \subseteq B$: if x belongs to A, then x also belongs to B.
- Showing $A \nsubseteq B$: find a single $x \in A$ such that $x \notin B$.



Sets

A set is an unordered collection of objects.

- listing (enumerating) the elements
- if enumeration is hard, use ellipses (...)
- definition by property, using the set builder

```
\{x \mid x \text{ has property } P \text{ or property } P(x))\}
```

Proof of Subset:

- Showing $A \subseteq B$: if x belongs to A, then x also belongs to B.
- Showing $A \nsubseteq B$: find a single $x \in A$ such that $x \notin B$.

Prove A = B?

Cardinality: If there are exactly *n* distinct elements in *S*, where *n* is a nonnegative integer, we say that *S* is a finite set and n is the cardinality of *S*, denoted by |S|.



Cardinality: If there are exactly *n* distinct elements in *S*, where *n* is a nonnegative integer, we say that *S* is a finite set and n is the cardinality of *S*, denoted by |S|.

Power Set: Given a set S, the power set of S is the set of all subsets of the set S, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(S)$.



Cardinality: If there are exactly *n* distinct elements in *S*, where *n* is a nonnegative integer, we say that *S* is a finite set and n is the cardinality of *S*, denoted by |S|.

Power Set: Given a set S, the power set of S is the set of all subsets of the set S, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Tuples: The ordered n-tuple $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is the ordered collection that has a_1 as its first element and a_2 as its second element and so on.



17 / 62

Fall 2022

Cardinality: If there are exactly *n* distinct elements in *S*, where *n* is a nonnegative integer, we say that *S* is a finite set and n is the cardinality of *S*, denoted by |S|.

Power Set: Given a set S, the power set of S is the set of all subsets of the set S, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(S)$.

Tuples: The ordered n-tuple $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is the ordered collection that has a_1 as its first element and a_2 as its second element and so on.

Cartesian Product: Let A and B be sets. The Cartesian product of A and B, denoted by $A \times B$, is the set of all ordered pairs (a, b), where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$:

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \land b \in B\}$$



17 / 62

Fall 2022

Set Operations

Union: Let A and B be sets. The union of the sets A and B, denoted by $A \cup B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \lor x \in B\}$.

Intersection: The intersection of the sets A and B, denoted by $A \cap B$, is the set $\{x \mid x \in A \land x \in B\}$.

Complement: If A is a set, then the complement of the set A (with respect to U), denoted by \overline{A} is the set U - A, $\overline{A} = \{x \in U \mid x \notin A\}$

Difference: Let A and B be sets. The difference of A and B, denoted by A - B, is the set containing the elements of A that are not in B. $A - B = \{x \mid x \in A \land x \notin B\} = A \cap \overline{B}.$

Principle of inclusion–exclusion: $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$



Set Identities

$A \cap U = A$ $A \cup \emptyset = A$	Identity laws
$A \cup U = U$ $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$	Domination laws
$A \cup A = A$ $A \cap A = A$	Idempotent laws
$\overline{(\overline{A})} = A$	Complementation law
$A \cup B = B \cup A$ $A \cap B = B \cap A$	Commutative laws



Fall 2022

Set Identities

$A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$ $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C$	Associative laws
$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$	Distributive laws
$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$	De Morgan's laws
$A \cup (A \cap B) = A$ $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$	Absorption laws
$A \cup \overline{A} = U$ $A \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$	Complement laws


Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$



∃ →

Meng Zhang @ ZJUI

Fall 2022

Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element x: 1, x is in A; 0, x is not in A.

A	В	Ā	B	$\overline{A \cap B}$	$\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$	
1	1	0	0	0	0	
1	0	0	1	1	1	
0	1	1	0	1	1	
0	0	1	1	1	1	



Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element *x*: 1, *x* is in *A*; 0, *x* is not in *A*. **Proof 2:** by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$ • $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$:



Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element *x*: 1, *x* is in *A*; 0, *x* is not in *A*.

Proof 2: by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$

- $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$:
 - Suppose that x ∈ A∩B. By the definition of complement, x ∉ A∩B. Using the definition of intersection, ¬((x ∈ A) ∧ (x ∈ B)) is true.





Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element x: 1, x is in A; 0, x is not in A.

Proof 2: by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$

- $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$:
 - Suppose that x ∈ A∩B. By the definition of complement, x ∉ A∩B. Using the definition of intersection, ¬((x ∈ A) ∧ (x ∈ B)) is true.
 - By applying De Morgan's law, ¬(x ∈ A) ∨ ¬(x ∈ B)). Thus, x ∉ A or x ∉ B. Using the definition of the complement of a set, x ∈ Ā or x ∈ B̄.

Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element x: 1, x is in A; 0, x is not in A.

Proof 2: by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$

- $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$:
 - Suppose that x ∈ A∩B. By the definition of complement, x ∉ A∩B. Using the definition of intersection, ¬((x ∈ A) ∧ (x ∈ B)) is true.
 - By applying De Morgan's law, ¬(x ∈ A) ∨ ¬(x ∈ B)). Thus, x ∉ A or x ∉ B. Using the definition of the complement of a set, x ∈ Ā or x ∈ B̄.
 - ▶ By the definition of union, we see that $x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$. Thus, $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$.



20 / 62

Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: Using membership tables. Consider an arbitrary element x: 1, x is in A; 0, x is not in A.

Proof 2: by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$

• $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$:

Suppose that x ∈ A∩B. By the definition of complement, x ∉ A∩B. Using the definition of intersection, ¬((x ∈ A) ∧ (x ∈ B)) is true.

- By applying De Morgan's law, ¬(x ∈ A) ∨ ¬(x ∈ B)). Thus, x ∉ A or x ∉ B. Using the definition of the complement of a set, x ∈ Ā or x ∈ B.
- ▶ By the definition of union, we see that $x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$. Thus, $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$.
- $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$

20 / 62

Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: using membership tables. **Proof 2:** by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$ **Proof 3:** Using set builder and logical equivalences



21 / 62

Image: Image:

Prove that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Proof 1: using membership tables. **Proof 2:** by showing that $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$ **Proof 3:** Using set builder and logical equivalences

$$A \cap B = \{x \mid x \notin A \cap B\}$$

= $\{x \mid \neg (x \in (A \cap B))\}$
= $\{x \mid \neg (x \in A \land x \in B)\}$
= $\{x \mid \neg (x \in A) \lor \neg (x \in B)\}$
= $\{x \mid x \notin A \lor x \notin B\}$
= $\{x \mid x \in \overline{A} \lor x \in \overline{B}\}$
= $\{x \mid x \in \overline{A} \lor \overline{B}\}$
= $\{x \mid x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}\}$
= $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

by definition of complement by definition of does not belong symbol by definition of intersection by the first De Morgan law for logical equivalences by definition of does not belong symbol by definition of complement by definition of union by meaning of set builder notation



Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B, denoted by $f : A \rightarrow B$, is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A.



Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B, denoted by $f : A \rightarrow B$, is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A.

- One-to-one (injective) function:
 - A function f is called one-to-one or injective if and only if f(x) = f(y) implies x = y for all x, y in the domain of f.



Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B, denoted by $f : A \rightarrow B$, is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A.

- One-to-one (injective) function:
 - A function f is called one-to-one or injective if and only if f(x) = f(y) implies x = y for all x, y in the domain of f.
- Onto (surjective) function:
 - A function f is called onto or surjective if and only if for every b ∈ B there is an element a ∈ A such that f(a) = b.



22 / 62

Let A and B be two sets. A function from A to B, denoted by $f : A \rightarrow B$, is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A.

- One-to-one (injective) function:
 - A function f is called one-to-one or injective if and only if f(x) = f(y) implies x = y for all x, y in the domain of f.
- Onto (surjective) function:
 - A function f is called onto or surjective if and only if for every b ∈ B there is an element a ∈ A such that f(a) = b.
- One-to-one (bijective) correspondence
 - One-to-one and onto

22 / 62

Proof for One-to-One and Onto

Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$.

To show that <i>f</i> is <i>injective</i>	Show that if $f(x) = f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$, then $x = y$
To show that <i>f</i> is not <i>injective</i>	Find specific elements $x, y \in A$ such that $x \neq y$ and $f(x) = f(y)$
To show that <i>f</i> is <i>surjective</i>	Consider an arbitrary element $y \in B$ and find an element $x \in A$ such that $f(x) = y$
To show that <i>f</i>	Find a specific element $y \in B$ such that $f(x) \neq y$



Inverse function: Let f be a one-to-one correspondence (bijection) from the set A to the set B. The inverse function of f is the function that assigns to an element b belonging to B the unique element a in A such that $f(\overline{a}) = b$.



Inverse function: Let f be a one-to-one correspondence (bijection) from the set A to the set B. The inverse function of f is the function that assigns to an element b belonging to B the unique element a in A such that f(a) = b.

Let f be a function from B to C and let g be a function from A to B. The composition of the functions f and g, denoted by $f \circ g$, is defined by $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$.



Inverse function: Let f be a one-to-one correspondence (bijection) from the set A to the set B. The inverse function of f is the function that assigns to an element b belonging to B the unique element a in A such that $f(\overline{a}) = b$.

Let f be a function from B to C and let g be a function from A to B. The composition of the functions f and g, denoted by $f \circ g$, is defined by $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$.

The floor function assigns a real number x the largest integer that is $\leq x$, denoted by $\lfloor x \rfloor$. E.g., $\lfloor 3.5 \rfloor = 3$.



Inverse function: Let f be a one-to-one correspondence (bijection) from the set A to the set B. The inverse function of f is the function that assigns to an element b belonging to B the unique element a in A such that $f(\overline{a}) = b$.

Let f be a function from B to C and let g be a function from A to B. The composition of the functions f and g, denoted by $f \circ g$, is defined by $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$.

The floor function assigns a real number x the largest integer that is $\leq x$, denoted by $\lfloor x \rfloor$. E.g., $\lfloor 3.5 \rfloor = 3$.

The ceiling function assigns a real number x the smallest integer that is $\geq x$, denoted by $\lceil x \rceil$. E.g., $\lceil 3.5 \rceil = 4$.



Sequences

A sequence is a function from a subset of the set of integers (typically the set $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ or $\{1, 2, 3, ...\}$) to a set S.

We use the notation a_n to denote the image of the integer n. $\{a_n\}$ represents the ordered list $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, ...\}$

Recursively Defined Sequences: provide

- One or more initial terms
- A rule for determining subsequent terms from those that precede them.



Cardinality of Sets

A set that is either finite or has the same cardinality as the set of positive integers \mathbf{Z}^+ is called countable.

If there is a one-to-one function from A to B, the cardinality of A is less than or equal to the cardinality of B, denoted by $|A| \leq |B|$.

Theorem: If there is a one-to-one correspondence between elements in A and B, then the sets A and B have the same cardinality.

Theorem: If A and B are sets with $|A| \le |B|$ and $|B| \le |A|$, then |A| = |B|.



26 / 62

Lecture Schedule

- 1 Logic and Mathematical Proofs
- 2 Sets and Functions

- 3 Complexity of Algorithms
- 4 Number Theory



27 / 62

Big-O Notation

Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x) is O(g(x)) if there are <u>constants C and k</u> such that

 $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|,$

whenever x > k. [This is read as "f(x) is big-oh of g(x)."]



28 / 62

Image: A matrix

Big-O Notation

Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x) is O(g(x)) if there are <u>constants C and k</u> such that

 $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|,$

whenever x > k. [This is read as "f(x) is big-oh of g(x)."]



Big-O Estimates for Some Functions





Meng Zhang @ ZJUI

Fall 2022

Big-Omega Notation

Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$ if there are positive constants C and k such that

 $|f(x)| \geq C|g(x)|$

whenever x > k. [This is read as "f(x) is big-Omega of g(x)."]



Image: A matrix

Big-Omega Notation

Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$ if there are positive constants C and k such that

 $|f(x)| \ge C|g(x)|$

whenever x > k. [This is read as "f(x) is big-Omega of g(x)."]

Let f and g be functions from the set of integers or the set of real numbers to the set of real numbers. We say that f(x) is $\Theta(g(x))$ if

- f(x) is O(g(x)) and
- f(x) is $\Omega(g(x))$.

When f(x) is $\Theta(g(x))$, we say that f(x) is big-Theta of g(x), that f(x) is of order g(x), and that f(x) and g(x) are of the same order.



jiang University-University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Instit 江大学伊利诺伊大学厄巴纳香槟校区联合学

Lecture Schedule

- 1 Logic and Mathematical Proofs
- 2 Sets and Functions

- 3 Complexity of Algorithms
- 4 Number Theory



31 / 62

Division

Divisibility: We say that *a* divides *b* if there is an integer *c* such that b = ac, or equivalently b/a is an integer.

• If a, b, c are integers, where $a \neq 0$, such that a|b and a|c, then a|(mb + nc) whenever m and n are integers.



Division

Divisibility: We say that *a* divides *b* if there is an integer *c* such that b = ac, or equivalently b/a is an integer.

• If a, b, c are integers, where $a \neq 0$, such that a|b and a|c, then a|(mb + nc) whenever m and n are integers.

Congruence Relation: If a and b are integers and m is a positive integer, then a is congruent to b modulo m if m divides a - b, denoted by $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

32 / 62

Division

Divisibility: We say that *a* divides *b* if there is an integer *c* such that b = ac, or equivalently b/a is an integer.

• If a, b, c are integers, where $a \neq 0$, such that a|b and a|c, then a|(mb + nc) whenever m and n are integers.

Congruence Relation: If a and b are integers and m is a positive integer, then a is congruent to b modulo m if m divides a - b, denoted by $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

The integers a and b are congruent modulo m if and only if there is an integer k such that

$$a = b + km$$
.



32 / 62

Congruence: Properties

Theorem: Let *m* be a positive integer. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then

 $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$ $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$



Congruence: Properties

Theorem: Let *m* be a positive integer. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then

 $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{m}$ $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$

Corollary: Let *m* be a positive integer and let *a* and *b* be integers. Then,

 $(a+b) \mod m = ((a \mod m) + (b \mod m)) \mod m$

 $ab \mod m = ((a \mod m)(b \mod m)) \mod m$



Primes

A integer p that is greater than 1 is called a prime if the only positive factors of p are 1 and p.

• If *n* is composite, then *n* has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .



Primes

A integer p that is greater than 1 is called a prime if the only positive factors of p are 1 and p.

• If *n* is composite, then *n* has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .

Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both 0. The largest integer *d* such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b*, denoted by gcd(a, b). Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$. Then,

$$gcd(a,b) = p^{\min(a_1,b_1)}p^{\min(a_2,b_2)}...p^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$



34 / 62

Primes

A integer p that is greater than 1 is called a prime if the only positive factors of p are 1 and p.

• If *n* is composite, then *n* has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .

Let *a* and *b* be integers, not both 0. The largest integer *d* such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of *a* and *b*, denoted by gcd(a, b). Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$. Then,

$$gcd(a,b) = p^{\min(a_1,b_1)}p^{\min(a_2,b_2)}...p^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$

The least common multiple of *a* and *b* is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both *a* and *b*, denoted by lcm(a, b). Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$. Then,

$$lcm(a, b) = p^{max(a_1, b_1)} p^{max(a_2, b_2)} ... p^{max(a_n, b_n)}$$



34 / 62

Euclidean Algorithm

Computing the greatest common divisor of two integers directly from the prime factorizations can be time consuming since we need to find all factors of the two integers.

For two integers 287 and 91, we want to find gcd(287, 91).

Step 1: $287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$ Step 2: $91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$ Step 3: $14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$

$$gcd(287,91) = gcd(91,14) = gcd(14,7) = 7$$


Bezout'S Theorem: If *a* and *b* are positive integers, then there exist integers *s* and *t* such that

gcd(a, b) = sa + tb.

This equation is called Bezout's identity.



Bezout'S Theorem: If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that

gcd(a, b) = sa + tb.

This equation is called Bezout's identity.

We can use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.



Bezout'S Theorem: If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that

gcd(a, b) = sa + tb.

This equation is called Bezout's identity.

We can use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.

Lemma: If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c.



36 / 62

Bezout'S Theorem: If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that

gcd(a, b) = sa + tb.

This equation is called Bezout's identity.

We can use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.

Lemma: If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c.

Lemma: If p is prime and $p|a_1a_2...a_n$, then $p|a_i$ for some i.



36 / 62

A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where *m* is a positive integer, *a* and *b* are integers, and *x* is a variable, is called a linear congruence.



A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where *m* is a positive integer, *a* and *b* are integers, and *x* is a variable, is called a linear congruence.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.



A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where *m* is a positive integer, *a* and *b* are integers, and *x* is a variable, is called a linear congruence.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.

Modular Inverse: An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of *a* modulo *m*.



A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where *m* is a positive integer, *a* and *b* are integers, and *x* is a variable, is called a linear congruence.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.

Modular Inverse: An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of *a* modulo *m*.

Solve the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ by multiplying both sides by \bar{a} .

 $x \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$.



37 / 62

Modular Inverse

Modular Inverse: An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of a modulo m.

When does inverse exist?

Theorem: If a and m are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of a modulo m exists. The inverse is unique modulo m. That is,

- there is a unique positive integer \bar{a} less than m that is an inverse of a modulo m and
- every other inverse of a modulo m is congruent to \bar{a} modulo m.



Modular Inverse

Modular Inverse: An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of a modulo m.

When does inverse exist?

Theorem: If a and m are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of a modulo m exists. The inverse is unique modulo m. That is,

- there is a unique positive integer ā less than m that is an inverse of a modulo m and
- every other inverse of a modulo m is congruent to \bar{a} modulo m.

If we obtain an arbitrary inverse of a modulo m, how to obtain the inverse that is less than m?



Modular Inverse

How to find inverses?

Using extended Euclidean algorithm:

Example: Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620. That is, find \bar{a} such that $\bar{a} \cdot 101 \equiv 1 \pmod{4620}$.

With extended Euclidean algorithm, we obtain gcd(a, b) = sa + tb, i.e., $1 = -35 \cdot 4620 + 1601 \cdot 101$. It tells us that -35 and 1601 are Bezout coefficients of 4620 and 101. We have

 $1 \mod 4620 = 1601 \cdot 101 \mod 4620.$

Thus, 1601 is an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.



Systems of linear congruences have been studied since ancient times.

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked: "There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?"

- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
- $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

Fall 2022

Theorem (The Chinese Remainder Theorem): Let m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than 1 and a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n arbitrary integers. Then, the system

```
x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}
```

 $x \equiv a_n \; (\mathbf{mod} \; m_n)$

. . .

has a unique solution modulo $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$.

(That is, there is a solution x with $0 \le x < m$, and all other solutions are congruent modulo m to this solution.)



Proof: To show such a solution exists: Let $M_k = m/m_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n and $m = m_1 m_2 ... m_n$. Thus, $M_k = m_1 ... m_{k-1} m_{k+1} ... m_n$.



Proof: To show such a solution exists: Let $M_k = m/m_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n and $m = m_1m_2...m_n$. Thus, $M_k = m_1...m_{k-1}m_{k+1}...m_n$.

Since $gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$, there is an integer y_k , an inverse of M_k modulo m_k , such that $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$. Let

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

It is checked that x is a solution to the n congruences:



44 / 49

Proof: To show such a solution exists: Let $M_k = m/m_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n and $m = m_1m_2...m_n$. Thus, $M_k = m_1...m_{k-1}m_{k+1}...m_n$.

Since $gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$, there is an integer y_k , an inverse of M_k modulo m_k , such that $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$. Let

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

It is checked that x is a solution to the n congruences:

$$x \mod m_k = (a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + ... + a_n M_n y_n) \mod m_k$$

Since $M_k = m/m_k$, we have $x \mod m_k = a_k M_k y_k \mod m_k$. Since $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$, we have $a_k M_k y_k \mod m_k = a_k \mod m_k$. Thus,

 $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$.



How to prove the uniqueness of the solution modulo m?

Proof: Suppose that x and x' are both solutions to all the congruences. As x and x' give the same remainder, when divided by m_k , their difference x - x' is a multiple of each m_k for all k = 1, 2, ..., n.



How to prove the uniqueness of the solution modulo m?

Proof: Suppose that x and x' are both solutions to all the congruences. As x and x' give the same remainder, when divided by m_k , their difference x - x' is a multiple of each m_k for all k = 1, 2, ..., n.

As m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers, their product *m* divides x - x', and thus *x* and *x'* are congruent modulo *m*, i.e., $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$.



45 / 49

How to prove the uniqueness of the solution modulo m?

Proof: Suppose that x and x' are both solutions to all the congruences. As x and x' give the same remainder, when divided by m_k , their difference x - x' is a multiple of each m_k for all k = 1, 2, ..., n.

As m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers, their product *m* divides x - x', and thus *x* and *x'* are congruent modulo *m*, i.e., $x \equiv x' \pmod{m}$.

This implies that given a solution x with $0 \le x < m$, all other solutions are congruent modulo m to this solution.

- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$



- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$
- Let $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, and $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.



- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$
- Let $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, and $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.
- 2 Compute the inverse of M_k modulo m_k :
 - ▶ $35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} y_1 = 2$
 - ▶ $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \frac{y_2}{y_2} = 1$
 - ▶ $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \frac{y_3}{y_3} = 1$

46 / 49

- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$
- Let $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, and $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.
- 2 Compute the inverse of M_k modulo m_k :
 - ► $35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} y_1 = 2$
 - ▶ $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \frac{y_2}{y_2} = 1$
 - ▶ $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \frac{y_3}{y_3} = 1$
- Compute a solution x: $x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 \equiv 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$



- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$
- Let $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, and $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.
- 2 Compute the inverse of M_k modulo m_k :
 - ► $35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} y_1 = 2$
 - ▶ $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \frac{y_2}{y_2} = 1$
 - ▶ $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \frac{y_3}{y_3} = 1$
- Sometical Compute a solution x: $x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 \equiv 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$
- The solutions are all integers x that satisfy $x \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$.

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.



We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.

Example:

(1) $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ (2) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ (3) $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$



We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.

Example:

(1) $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ (2) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ (3) $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ According to (1), x = 5t + 1, where t is an integer.



47 / 49

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.

Example:

(1) $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ (2) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ (3) $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ According to (1), x = 5t + 1, where t is an integer.

Substituting this expression into (2), we have $5t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, which means that $t \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Thus, t = 6u + 5, where u is an integer.



47 / 49

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.

Example:

(1) $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ (2) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ (3) $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ According to (1), x = 5t + 1, where t is an integer.

Substituting this expression into (2), we have $5t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, which means that $t \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Thus, t = 6u + 5, where u is an integer.

Substituting x = 5t + 1 and t = 6u + 5 into (3), we have $30u + 26 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$, which implies that $u \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$. Thus, u = 7v + 6, where v is an integer.



We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ by back substitution.

Example:

(1) $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ (2) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ (3) $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ According to (1), x = 5t + 1, where t is an integer.

Substituting this expression into (2), we have $5t + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, which means that $t \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. Thus, t = 6u + 5, where u is an integer.

Substituting x = 5t + 1 and t = 6u + 5 into (3), we have $30u + 26 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$, which implies that $u \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$. Thus, u = 7v + 6, where v is an integer.

Thus, we must have x = 210v + 206. Translating this back into a congruence,

$$x \equiv 206 \pmod{210}.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回</p>